Environment Research 2017

Tetra Pak in collaboration with Ipsos Summary Report July 2017







Country coverage

Consumers view





Key insights 2017

85%

OF CONSUMERS BELIEVE THAT THE FOCUS ON ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES WILL INCREASE IN THE NEXT 5 YEARS

- Recycling and food waste reduction are the top performed environmental actions
- Emotional drivers and personal benefits influence purchase of environmentally sound products



43%

OF CONSUMERS LOOK FOR LOGOS WHEN SHOPPING FOR BEVERAGES

On-pack is the preferred channel to get environmental information about packaging

OF CONSUMERS SAY THAT AN ENVIRONMENTALLY SOUND PACKAGE MAKES THEM MUCH MORE LIKELY TO CONSIDER THE BRAND

• And half of them say that it makes the brand worth more than it cost



Environment is top of mind for consumers

Expected to be an even more relevant topic in the future



How much do you think the focus on environmental issues (e.g. climate change, environmental pollution) will increase/decrease in the coming five years? (Prompted, Single choice)





Recycling confirmed as the most frequent activity

Food waste reduction is the top activity in developing countries



Below is a list of activities. Thinking about the past year, please indicate which of the following actions you have actually done or considered doing, or have not considered doing, using the below ranking: (Prompted, single per row)

	ENVIRONMENTAL ACTIVITIES - TOP BOX (% FREQUENT	LY DONE)		DEVELOPED	DEVELOPING
	Sorted and set aside waste for recycling	2017 2015	47 52	70%	36%
	Reduced the amount of food/beverage wasted by me/my family	2017	43	45%	41%
	Purchased a product with an environmentally sound packaging	2017 23 2015 24		15%	29%
)	Considered environmental aspects when purchasing things	2017 20 2015 18		15%	25%
	Avoided a particular product or brand for environmental reasons	2017 18 2015 14		16%	21%
	Avoided a beverage in a plastic container for environmental reasons	2017 16 2015 13		10%	21%
	Purchased an environmentally sound product, even if it costs more	2017 2015 16		12%	18%
	Avoided a beverage in a carton container for environmental reasons	2017 11 2015 7		7%	15%

Base: Consumers (n=6543)

95% Significance towards 2015

Noteworthy difference developed - developing



Three levels of environmental engagement

Households with kids drive environmental actions up

MEDIUM ENGAGEMENT – 48%

ACTION: Frequently recycle and reduce the amount of food waste, lower engagement in other environmental activities.

DRIVER: Preserving the environment for future generations.

BARRIER: Cost remains a key barrier, followed by lack of information and availability.



HIGH ENGAGEMENT – 14%

ACTION: The most active segment across all environmental activities. Strongly caring about environment when buying products. Would buy more products if available.

DRIVER: Buy environmental products to preserve the environment for future generations and because it is part of their lifestyle.

Higher share of kids in household

LOW ENGAGEMENT – 39%

ACTION: Recycle and care about food waste as minimum effort for the environment. Low interest on environment when buying products.

DRIVER: More driven by personal benefits than environmental benefits.

BARRIER: More price oriented than other segments.





Middle green consumers are an important target

Recycling and food waste reduction frequently done across all segments



Below is a list of activities. Thinking about the past year, please indicate which of the following actions you have actually done or considered doing, or have not considered doing, using the below ranking: (Prompted, Single per row)

ENVIRONMENTAL ACTIVITIES - TOP BOX (%FREQUENTLY DONE)



Base: Consumers (n=6543)



Environmentally sound product shows different nuances

Increased associations with 'natural' compared to the past



When you think about an environmentally sound product, which is the first thing that comes to your mind? (Prompted, Single choice, top 6 answers)

DEFINITION OF ENVIRONMENTALLY SOU	JND PRODUCT - % MENTIONS	DEVELOPED	DEVELOPING	
Products that can be recycled	2017 19	040/	4.00/	
	2015 23	- 21%	18%	
Biodegradable products	2017 14	11%	17%	
	2015 12	1170		
Organic products	<mark>2017 13</mark>	13%	14%	
	<mark>2015</mark> 13	1370		
Products grown without pesticides, preservatives	<mark>2017 13</mark>	11%	15%	
	2015 11 🛖		1070	
Products made with recycled materials	2017 10	11%	9%	
	2015 12			
Products made with material	2017 9	9%	9%	
from renewable resources	2015 10		0 ,0	
Base: Consumers (n=6543) 1	95% Significance towards 2015	Noteworthy difference de	veloped - developing	



Emotional drivers influence purchase of green products

Personal benefits are very relevant especially in developing countries



Why do you buy / would you buy environmentally sound products? (Prompted, Multiple choice)

DRIVERS TO PURCHASE ENVIRONMENTALLY SOUND PRODUCTS

	% MENTIONS				DEVELOPED	DEVELOPING	
	To preserve the environment for future generations	2017		58	550/	60%	
		2015		51	55%	60%	
	To do something helpful for the community	2017	37		35%	39%	
		2015	32		5576	5370	
	Because it fits with my lifestyle (e.g. organic,	2017	35		28%	40%	
	local, natural, free-from, etc)	2015	28				
	Because of additional benefits compared to standard	2017	34		24%	44%	
	products (e.g. health benefits)		<u></u>				
	Because of higher quality than standard products	2017	23		18%	28%	
		2015	12				
	Base: Consumers (n=6543)	95% Significance towards 2015 N			Noteworthy difference developed - developing		



Higher cost vs. standard product remains the main barrier

Lack of availability and lack of awareness are less perceived as barriers



Which of the following aspects would not make you buy / make you not buy an environmentally sound product? (Prompted, Multiple choice, top 6 answers)

BARRIERS TO PURCHASE ENVIRONMENTALLY SOUND PRODUCTS

	% MENTIONS				DEVELOPED	DEVELOPING	
	Higher cost of environmentally sound products	und products 2017		46	48%	44%	
	compared to standard ones	2015	2015				
	Lack of information on environmentally	2017	29		24%	33%	
	sound products	2015	29				
	Lack of environmentally sound products	2017	29		21%	35%	
	available to purchase	2015	33				
	Lack of awareness in distinguishing what is or is not an environmentally sound product	2017	28		24%	30%	
		2015	31				
	A lack of trust that the product has limited impact on environment	2017	26	,	24%	28%	
		2015	26				
	Lower quality of environmentally sound products	2017	22		22%	23%	
	compared to standard ones	2015	25				
	*				New of the second second		







Sustainable packaging drives consumer preference

20% of consumers also recognize higher brand value



If you were about to choose a beverage brand, how would an environmentally sound packaging affect your consideration of the brand? (Prompted, Single choice)



How would an environmentally sound packaging change your opinion about the value of this brand? (Prompted, Single choice)





The package is the preferred source of environmental info

Consumers would like more info on many environmental topics on pack



Through which of the following communication channels would you prefer to get environmental information about beverage packaging? (Prompted, Single choice)

PREFERRED CHANNEL TO GET ENVIRONMENTAL INFO ABOUT PACKAGING





Which of the following topics would you like to read/ learn more about? (Prompted, Multiple choice)

TOPICS TO READ / LEARN MORE ABOUT





The importance of environmental logos is increasing

42% consumers look for them when shopping for beverages



Consumers: When you shop for beverages, do you typically look for environmental logos on the products you buy? (Prompted, Single choice)

ATTENTION TO ENVIRONMENTAL LOGOS DURING SHOPPING - % MENTIONS

	ALWAYS + OFT	EN	SOMETIMES		Ν	IEVER
TOTAL	42 👚			39		19
DEVELOPED	31 畣		40			30
DEVELOPING	52			38		10
TURKEY	67 👚				31	3
THAILAND	66				31	3
CHINA	57 📕				39	4
BRAZIL	47				43	10
SAUDI ARABIA	47		39			14
SOUTH AFRICA	45 🖊		34			21
USA	45 👚		50			20
RUSSIA	37		50			13
GERMANY	35		48			17
FRANCE	34		40			26
SWEDEN	29		45			27 37
NETHERLANDS	21		43			37
JAPAN	20	28				52
	Base: Influences (n=654	43)	95% Significanc	e towards 2	2015	





Environmental logos add trust and appeal to the brand

Considered increasingly clear and useful



Next are some statements about environmental logos. Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with each statement, using scale of 1 to 5, where 1 means 'not at all agree', 5 means 'strongly agree'. (Prompted, Single per row)

AGREEMENT ON STATEMENTS ABOUT ENVIRONMENTAL LOGOS - % MENTIONS TOP2BOXES (STRONGLY AGREE + SLIGHTLY AGREE)

DEVELOPED DEVELOPING 57 2017 Environmental logos make 47% 67% the brand more trustworthy *new statement 2017 55 2017 Environmental logos are useful in helping me understand 44% 64% the environmental impact of the package 52 Environmental logos make the beverage 49 2017 38% 58% product more appealing 46 2017 44 Information shown on environmental logos 35% 52% are believable 42 41 2017 Environmental logos are clear 32% 49% and easy to understand 39 95% Significance towards 2015 Noteworthy difference developed - developing Base: Consumers (n=6543)



Increasing awareness and relevance of FSC[™] logo

Now you will see some environmental logos or labels that you can find on food and beverage packaging. Which of them have you seen or heard of? Please select all the logos this applies to (FSC only, Prompted, Multiple choice)



This is the FSC logo and it means...* How relevant is it for you that when buying a carton package, it is labelled with the FSC logo? (Prompted, Single choice)



RELEVANCE - TOP 2 BOXES (% Extremely+Very relevant) 55% TOTAL 46% DEVELOPED DEVELOPING 63% 81% BRA7II 75% TURKEY 66% **CHINA 60%** FRANCE **58%** SOUTH AFRICA **56%** RUSSIA 53% **NETHERLANDS 52%** THAILAND **49%** SAUDI ARABIA 48% USA 45% GERMANY 44% **SWEDEN** 28% JAPAN

For more information, please contact

Antonella Maccarone Consumer Insights

Davide Braghiroli Environmental Innovations

July 2017 • www.tetrapak.com